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Comparison IALA risk management tools

# Discussion

The two methods of IALA risk management tools should be compared with a SWOT Analysis to permit the users in case to see the more appropriate method of risk assessment when deemed necessary. The main objective should be to make complementary the two methods. So studies, comparison is necessary to success in this objective.

The IWRAP is quantitative method and can be run by one person,

The PAWSA is qualitative and can be run only by a methodical brainstorming.

So it shall be suitable to see how to make them complementary and in case of need to have the tools to see which one is suitable to use.

# Action requested of the Committee

The Committee is requested to consider how the two methods of IALA risk management tools can be used complementary and be included in the IALA NAVGUIDE.
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